UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/511,135	10/14/2004	Taemi Wada	60188-982	9229	
Jack Q Lever Jr	7590 01/13/200	EXAMINER			
McDermott Wil	ll & Emery	ARMOUCHE, HADI S			
Washington, D	·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
_			2432		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/13/2009	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/511,135	WADA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
HADI ARMOUCHE	2432	

	HADI ARMOUCHE	2432				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 January 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 cension and the corresponding amount of thortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as			
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in comp	liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be t	filed within two months	s of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by They raise new issues that would require further cor	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause			
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowant) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	•	lucina or simplifyina tl	he issues for			
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	adding of simplifying the	ie issues ioi			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	, ,					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>3-9 and 13</u> . Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>2,10 and 12</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a			
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>						
12.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/Gilberto Barron Jr/	/H. A./					
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2432	Examiner, Art Unit 2432					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It has been argued (page 3 of the remarks) that Momona does not teach: "error detection using MAC header information except for HCS data". Applicant's interpretation of the reference is noted. However examiner respectfully disagrees. Momona in Figure 3 shows that the MAC frame has a reserved frame section that includes the HCS. On page 9 lines 1-2, Momona teaches that the MAC frame is divided up, extended over several MPEG2 packets and then mapped and sent. Then in section 2.4.2 last 7 lines, he uses different error correction for the reserved frame and hence for the HCS. So Momona execuled the HCS data when using the MAC header information for error detection.